REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

The claims were newly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being obvious over U.S.

patent 4,870,871 (Ivan) in view of U.S. patent 5,634,534 (Kanai et al). Applicant wishes to

thank Examiners Yabut and Luong for the courtesy of an interview on June 11, 2010, at

which time this rejection was discussed in conjunction with possible clarifying claim

amendments. In particular, Applicant argued that the pedal adjuster of Ivan provides

adjustment by rotating a screw rod and does not provide the claimed slide and clamp for

blocking sliding movement, or a clamp for blocking angular movement of the pedal (Claim

36), and that the seat adjuster of Kanai et al would not have motivated one skilled in the art to

so modify the pedal mechanism of Ivan. At the conclusion of the interview, the examiners

indicated that the claims, if amended to further recite that the actuating device includes a

cable, would define over this prior art. This has been done in the present response.

Applicant therefore believes that the present application is in a condition for

allowance and respectfully solicits an early notice of allowability.

Respectfully submitted,

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 08/07)

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Robert T. Pous

Registration No. 29,099

Attorney of Record

6